#### Data Summary for Winter Retreat '21

### **COE Identified Target Areas:**

- 1. Candidate Assessment (part of InTASC 6 Assessment, Identified in 2019-2020)
- 2. Classroom Management (part of InTASC 3 Learning Environments, Identified in 2019-2020)
- 3. Communication with families (part of InTASC 10 Leadership and Collaboration, Identified in 2019-2020)
- 4. Preparation to support ESL / Special Education / Diversity (InTASC 2 Learner Differences, Identified in 2018-19)

### Target area: Preparation to support ELL's and use of Diversity Pedagogies (Cathy)

| Data source           | Summary of data  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Spring '20 unit-level | Candidate dispositions 1 (no data available)   |
| assessments (InTasc   | Candidate dispositions 2 (49 proficient, 6 acceptable, N=55)                               |
| Excel File/InTASC #2) |  |
|                       | Diversity rubric, item: Learning Individual Differences and Diverse Cultures               |
|                       | (81 Proficient, 16 acceptable, 9 not met, N= 106)  |
|                       | Diversity rubric, item: Responding to Individual Learning Differences and Diverse Cultures |
|                       | (81 Proficient, 19 acceptable, 6 not met, N= 106)  |
| 18-19 Case studies    | Candidates expressed an interest in increasing early field experiences especially in       |
|                       | diverse settings. Specifically, working in small groups with the ELL kids and              |
|                       | the Special Ed kids for those not earning a cert. in Special Ed degree or an El            |
|                       | Ed degree.   |
| 17-19 Alumni surveys  | Percentage of alum that felt prepared or very prepared about the following                 |
|                       | topics:  |
|                       | Teaching in ways that support ELL's.   |
|                       | (In 2017 it was 49%, in 2018 58% and in 2019 68%)  |
|                       | Honor diverse cultures and incorporate culturally responsive materials.                    |
|                       | In 2017 it was 89%, in 2018 84% and in 2019 86%)   |
|                       |  |
|                       | 1  |

### Target area: Preparation to support candidates' use of Assessment (Mark)

| Data source           | Summary of data  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Spring '20 unit-level | Strengths maintained from previous year:                           |
| assessments (InTasc   | -Reflecting on teaching (Student teaching supervisor midterm 0.98) |
| Excel File)           |  |
|                       | Weaknesses in Spring '19 now improved:                             |

|                      | -Designing student assessments supervisor final from80 to .06   |
|----------------------|---|
|                      | -Designing student assessments supervisor final fromoo to .oo   |
|                      | Areas for improvement (identified weaknesses in Spring 20 data):  -Designing student assessments mentor midterm –1.44  -Designing student assessment supervisor midterm –1.65  -Designing student assessment early field supervisor –1.33  -Using assessment in instruction early field placement –1.33 |
| 18-19 Case studies   | Overall planning (and specifically differentiation) was a Kutztown area of  |
| 10 19 case staates   | strength. However, one case study reported that they "still needed to work on" student assessment.  |
| 17-19 Alumni surveys | The alumni survey asks the question "Use data to inform instruction" with respondents as "prepared or very prepared." 82% (2017), 84% (2018), 80% (2019). This is not the weakest item measured on the survey but a dip is noted in 2019.   |
|                      | The alumni survey asks the question "Use a variety of assessments to determine students' strengths, needs, and ongoing growth." with respondents as "prepared or very prepared." 86% (2017), 92% (2018), 77% (2019). This is not the weakest item measured on the survey but a dip is noted in 2019.    |

## Target area: Preparation to support candidates' Classroom Management (Anne)

| Data source                        | Summary of data   |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Spring '20 unit-level              | Strengths maintained from previous year:  |
| assessments (InTasc Excel<br>File) | <ul> <li>Danielson Rubric - Mentor Midterm; Danielson 2e. Organizing<br/>Physical Space (1.01)</li> </ul>                     |
|                                    | <ul> <li>Danielson Rubric - Supervisor Midterm; Danielson 2a.</li> <li>Environment of Respect and Rapport (1.04)</li> </ul>   |
|                                    | <ul> <li>Early Field Placement Rubric - Supervisor; Danielson 2c.</li> <li>Managing Classroom Procedures (1.06)</li> </ul>    |
|                                    | Weakness in Sp19 now strength:  |
|                                    | <ul> <li><u>Early Field Placement</u> Rubric - Supervisor; Danielson 2d.</li> <li>Managing Student Behavior (1.06)</li> </ul> |
|                                    | Continued area of need:   |
|                                    | <ul> <li><u>Danielson Rubric</u> - Supervisor Midterm; Danielson 2d.</li> <li>Managing Student Behavior (-1.37)</li> </ul>    |
|                                    | <ul> <li>Danielson Rubric - Mentor Midterm; 2d. Managing Student<br/>Behavior (-2.31)</li> </ul>                              |
|                                    | *Danielson Mentor Final and Early Field Mentor not administered in<br>Spring 2020 due to COVID 19.                            |
| 18-19 Case studies                 | Strengths cited:  |

|                      | <ul> <li>Importance and ability to establish good/positive student relationships</li> <li>Rapport with students/get to know on a personal level/ how to motivate/background/families</li> <li>Prepared for some of those tough needs of students and the student behaviors</li> <li>Creating a classroom as a safe /welcoming space for students</li> <li>Areas for improvement:         <ul> <li>Took time to share aspects of personal life to build trust</li> <li>Sometimes lack consistency in managing behavior</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
|----------------------|--|
| 17-19 Alumni surveys | <ul> <li>Spring 2019 data (16% response rate)</li> <li>Create and manage a positive classroom environment- 86% prepared/very prepared</li> <li>Honor diverse cultures and incorporate culturally responsive materials- 86% prepared/very prepared</li> </ul>   |

# Target area: Preparation to support candidates' Communication with families (Mark)

| Data source           | Summary of data   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Spring '20 unit-level | Areas for improvement (identified weaknesses in Spring 20 data):              |
| assessments (InTasc   | -Communicating with families mentor midterm –2.47                             |
| Excel File)           | -Communicating with families supervisor midterm –2.66                         |
|                       | -Communicating with families supervisor final –1.35                           |
| 18-19 Case studies    | "There were a variety of grown areas cited by alumni. Some examples include   |
|                       | wanting to be better in working with families" specific mention in interviews |
|                       | about how to connect with families in urban settings as area for improvement  |
| 17-19 Alumni surveys  | Positive alumni responses to this question "Understand value of working with  |
|                       | colleagues, families, and community agencies in meeting student needs."       |