Kutztown Adapted Danielson (Appendix B) Planning and Preparation

Component	Not Met	Acceptable	Proficient	In-service Distinguished (not used for preservice rating)
1a: Demonstrating knowledge of content and pedagogy	In planning and practice, the teacher candidate makes content errors or does not correct errors made by students. The teacher candidate displays little understanding of prerequisite knowledge important to student learning of the content. The teacher candidate displays little or no understanding of the range of pedagogical approaches suitable to student learning of the content.	The teacher candidate is familiar with the important concepts in the discipline but displays a lack of awareness of how these concepts relate to one another. The teacher candidate indicates some awareness of prerequisite learning, although such knowledge may be inaccurate or incomplete. The teacher candidate's plans and practice reflect a limited range of pedagogical approaches to the discipline or to the students.	The teacher candidate displays solid knowledge of the important concepts in the discipline and how these relate to one another. The teacher candidate demonstrates accurate understanding of prerequisite relationships among topics. The teacher candidate's plans and practice reflect familiarity with a wide range of effective pedagogical approaches in the subject.	The teacher displays extensive knowledge of the important concepts in the discipline and how these relate both to one another and to other disciplines. The teacher demonstrates understanding of prerequisite relationships among topics and concepts and understands the link to necessary cognitive structures that ensure student understanding. The teacher's plans and practice reflect familiarity with a wide range of effective pedagogical approaches in the discipline and the ability to anticipate student misconceptions.
1b: Demonstrating knowledge of students	The teacher candidate displays minimal understanding of how students learn—and little knowledge of their varied approaches to learning, knowledge and skills, special needs, and interests and cultural heritages—and does not indicate that such knowledge is valuable.	The teacher candidate displays generally accurate knowledge of how students learn and of their varied approaches to learning, knowledge and skills, special needs, and interests and cultural heritages, yet may apply this knowledge not to individual students but to the class as a whole.	The teacher candidate understands the active nature of student learning and attains information about levels of development for groups of students. The teacher candidate also purposefully acquires knowledge from several sources about groups of students' varied approaches to learning, knowledge and skills, special needs, and interests and cultural heritages.	The teacher understands the active nature of student learning and acquires information about levels of development for individual students. The teacher also systematically acquires knowledge from several sources about individual students' varied approaches to learning, knowledge and skills, special needs, and interests and cultural heritages.
1c: Setting instructional outcomes	The outcomes represent low expectations for students and lack of rigor, and not all of these outcomes reflect important learning in the discipline. They are stated as student activities, rather than as outcomes for learning. Outcomes reflect only one type of learning and only one discipline or strand and are suitable for only some students.	Outcomes represent moderately high expectations and rigor. Some reflect important learning in the discipline and consist of a combination of outcomes and activities. Outcomes reflect several types of learning, but the teacher candidate has made no effort at coordination or integration. Outcomes, based on global assessments of student learning, are suitable for most of the students in the class.	Most outcomes represent rigorous and important learning in the discipline and are clear, are written in the form of student learning, and suggest viable methods of assessment. Outcomes reflect several different types of learning and opportunities for coordination, and they are differentiated, in whatever way is needed, for different groups of students.	All outcomes represent high-level learning in the discipline. They are clear, are written in the form of student learning, and permit viable methods of assessment. Outcomes reflect several different types of learning and, where appropriate, represent both coordination and integration. Outcomes are differentiated, in whatever way is needed, for individual students.

Component	Not Met	Acceptable	Proficient	In-service Distinguished (not used for preservice rating)
1d: Effective use of resources, materials, and technology	The teacher candidate is unaware and makes poor use of resources and instructional technology to assist student learning.	The teacher candidate uses resources and instructional technology primarily as a means of delivering instruction with low student active engagement.	The teacher candidate effectively uses resources and instructional technology consistent with students as knowledge constructors to empower students and create digital citizens.	The teacher's knowledge of resources for classroom use and for extending one's professional skill is extensive, including those available through the school or district, in the community, through professional organizations and universities, and on the Internet.
le Designing coherent instruction	Learning activities are poorly aligned with the instructional outcomes, do not follow an organized progression, are not designed to engage students in active intellectual activity, and have unrealistic time allocations. Instructional groups are not suitable to the activities and offer no variety.	Some of the learning activities and materials are aligned with the instructional outcomes and represent moderate cognitive challenge, but with no differentiation for different students. Instructional groups partially support the activities, with some variety. The lesson or unit has a recognizable structure; but the progression of activities is uneven, with only some reasonable time allocations.	Most of the learning activities are aligned with the instructional outcomes and follow an organized progression suitable to groups of students. The learning activities have reasonable time allocations; they represent significant cognitive challenge, with some differentiation for different groups of students and varied use of instructional groups.	The sequence of learning activities follows a coherent sequence, is aligned to instructional goals, and is designed to engage students in high-level cognitive activity. These are appropriately differentiated for individual learners. Instructional groups are varied appropriately, with some opportunity for student choice.
1f: Designing student assessment	Assessment procedures are not congruent with instructional outcomes and lack criteria by which student performance will be assessed. The teacher candidate has no plan to incorporate formative assessment in the lesson or unit.	Assessment procedures are partially congruent with instructional outcomes. Assessment criteria and standards have been developed, but they are not clear. The teacher candidate's approach to using formative assessment is rudimentary, including only some of the instructional outcomes.	All the instructional outcomes may be assessed by the proposed assessment plan; assessment methodologies may have been adapted for groups of students. Assessment criteria and standards are clear. The teacher candidate has a well-developed strategy for using formative assessment and has designed particular approaches to be used.	All the instructional outcomes may be assessed by the proposed assessment plan, with clear criteria for assessing student work. The plan contains evidence of student contribution to its development. Assessment methodologies have been adapted for individual students as the need has arisen. The approach to using formative assessment is well designed and includes student as well as teacher use of the assessment information.

The Classroom Environment

Component	Not Met	Acceptable	Proficient	In-service Distinguished (not used for preservice rating)
2a: Creating an environment of respect and rapport	Patterns of classroom interactions, both between teacher candidate and students and among students, are mostly negative, inappropriate, or insensitive to students' ages, cultural backgrounds, and developmental levels. Student interactions are characterized by sarcasm, put-downs, or conflict. The teacher candidate does not deal with disrespectful behavior.	Patterns of classroom interactions, both between teacher candidate and students and among students, are generally appropriate but may reflect occasional inconsistencies, favoritism, and disregard for students' ages, cultures, and developmental levels. Students rarely demonstrate disrespect for one another. The teacher candidate attempts to respond to disrespectful behavior, with uneven results. The net result of the interactions is neutral, conveying neither warmth nor conflict.	Teacher-student interactions are friendly and demonstrate general caring and respect. Such interactions are appropriate to the ages, cultures, and developmental levels of the students. Interactions among students are generally polite and respectful, and students exhibit respect for the teacher candidate. The teacher candidate responds successfully to disrespectful behavior among students. The net result of the interactions is polite, respectful, and businesslike, though students may be somewhat cautious about taking intellectual risks.	Classroom interactions between teacher and students and among students are highly respectful, reflecting genuine warmth, caring, and sensitivity to students as individuals. Students exhibit respect for the teacher and contribute to high levels of civility among all members of the class. The net result is an environment where all students feel valued and are comfortable taking intellectual risks.
2b: Establishing a Culture for Learning	The classroom culture is characterized by a lack of teacher candidate or student commitment to learning, and/or little or no investment of student energy in the task at hand. Hard work and the precise use of language are not expected or valued. Medium to low expectations for student achievement are the norm, with high expectations for learning reserved for only one or two students.	The classroom culture is characterized by little commitment to learning by the teacher candidate or students. The teacher candidate appears to be only "going through the motions," and students indicate that they are interested in the completion of a task rather than the quality of the work. The teacher candidate conveys that student success is the result of natural ability rather than hard work, and refers only in passing to the precise use of language. High expectations for learning are reserved for those students thought to have a natural aptitude for the subject.	The classroom culture is a place where learning is valued by all; high expectations for both learning and hard work are the norm for most students. Students understand their role as learners and consistently expend effort to learn. Classroom interactions support learning, hard work, and the precise use of language.	The classroom culture is a cognitively busy place, characterized by a shared belief in the importance of learning. The teacher conveys high expectations for learning for all students and insists on hard work; students assume responsibility for high quality by initiating improvements, making revisions, adding detail, and/or assisting peers in their precise use of language.
2c: Managing classroom procedures	Much instructional time is lost due to inefficient classroom routines and procedures. There is little or no evidence of the teacher candidate's managing instructional groups and transitions and/or handling of materials and supplies effectively. There is little evidence that students know or follow established routines.	Some instructional time is lost due to partially effective classroom routines and procedures. The teacher candidate's management of instructional groups and transitions, or handling of materials and supplies, or both, are inconsistent, leading to some disruption of learning. With regular guidance and prompting, students follow established routines.	There is little loss of instructional time due to effective classroom routines and procedures. The teacher candidate's management of instructional groups and transitions, or handling of materials and supplies, or both, are consistently successful. With minimal guidance and prompting, students follow established classroom routines.	Instructional time is maximized due to efficient and seamless classroom routines and procedures. Students take initiative in the management of instructional groups and transitions, and/or the handling of materials and supplies. Routines are well understood and may be initiated by students.

Component	Not Met	Acceptable	Proficient	In-service Distinguished (not used for preservice rating)
2d: Managing student behavior	There appear to be no established standards of conduct, or students challenge them. There is little or no teacher candidate monitoring of student behavior, and response to students' misbehavior is repressive or disrespectful of student dignity.	Standards of conduct appear to have been established, but their implementation is inconsistent. The teacher candidate tries, with uneven results, to monitor student behavior and respond to student misbehavior.	Student behavior is generally appropriate. The teacher candidate monitors student behavior against established standards of conduct. Teacher response to student misbehavior is consistent, proportionate, and respectful to students and is effective.	Student behavior is entirely appropriate. Students take an active role in monitoring their own behavior and/or that of other students against standards of conduct. Teacher monitoring of student behavior is subtle and preventive. The teacher's response to student misbehavior is sensitive to individual student needs and respects students' dignity.
2e: Organizing physical space	The classroom environment is unsafe, or learning is not accessible to many. There is poor alignment between the arrangement of furniture and resources, including computer technology, and the lesson activities.	The classroom is safe, and essential learning is accessible to most students. The teacher candidate makes modest use of physical resources, including technology. The teacher candidate attempts to adjust the classroom furniture for a lesson or, if necessary, to adjust the lesson to the furniture, but with limited effectiveness.	The classroom is safe, and students have equal access to learning activities; the teacher candidate ensures that the furniture arrangement is appropriate to the learning activities and uses physical resources, including technology, effectively.	The classroom environment is safe, and learning is accessible to all students, including those with special needs. The teacher makes effective use of physical resources, including computer technology. The teacher ensures that the physical arrangement is appropriate to the learning activities. Students contribute to the use or adaptation of the physical environment to advance learning.

Instruction

Component	Not Met	Acceptable	Proficient	In-service Distinguished
1 0				(not used for preservice rating)
3a:Communication	The instructional purpose of the lesson is unclear to students, and the directions and procedures are confusing. The teacher candidate's explanation of the content contains major errors. The teacher candidate's spoken or written language contains errors of grammar or syntax. The teacher candidate's vocabulary is inappropriate, vague, or used incorrectly, leaving students confused.	The teacher candidate's attempt to explain the instructional purpose has partial success, and/or directions and procedures must be clarified after initial student confusion. The teacher candidate's explanation of the content may contain minor errors; some portions are clear; other portions are difficult to follow. The teacher candidate's explanation consists of a monologue, with no invitation to the students for intellectual engagement. Teacher candidate's spoken language is correct; however, his or her vocabulary is limited, or not fully appropriate to the students' ages or backgrounds.	The teacher candidate clearly communicates instructional purpose of the lesson, including where it is situated within broader learning, and explains procedures and directions clearly. Teacher candidate's explanation of content is well scaffolded, clear and accurate, and connects with students' knowledge and experience. During the explanation of content, the teacher candidate invites student intellectual engagement. Teacher candidate's spoken and written language is clear and correct and uses vocabulary appropriate to the students' ages and interests.	The teacher links the instructional purpose of the lesson to student interests; the directions and procedures are clear and anticipate possible student misunderstanding. The teacher's explanation of content is thorough and clear, developing conceptual understanding through artful scaffolding and connecting with students' interests. Students contribute to extending the content and help explain concepts to their classmates. The teacher's spoken and written language is expressive, and the teacher finds opportunities to extend students' vocabularies.
3b: Using questioning and discussion techniques	Teacher candidate's questions are of low cognitive challenge, require single correct responses, and are asked in rapid succession. Interaction between teacher candidate and students is predominantly recitation style, with the teacher candidate mediating all questions and answers. A few students dominate the discussion	Teacher candidate's questions lead students through a single path of inquiry, with answers seemingly determined in advance. Alternatively, the teacher candidate attempts to frame some questions designed to promote student thinking and understanding, but only a few students are involved. Teacher candidate attempts to engage all students in the discussion and to encourage them to respond to one another, but with uneven results.	Although the teacher candidate may use some low-level questions, he or she asks the students questions designed to promote thinking and understanding. Teacher candidate creates a genuine discussion among students, providing adequate time for students to respond and stepping aside when appropriate. Teacher candidate successfully engages most students in the discussion, employing a range of strategies to ensure that most students are heard.	Teacher uses a variety or series of questions or prompts to challenge students cognitively, advance high-level thinking and discourse, and promote metacognition. Students formulate many questions, initiate topics, and make unsolicited contributions. Students themselves ensure that all voices are heard in the discussion.

Component	Not Met	Acceptable	Proficient	In-service Distinguished (not used for preservice rating)
3c: Engaging students in learning	Learning tasks and activities, materials, resources, instructional groups and technology are poorly aligned with the instructional outcomes or require only rote responses. The pace of the lesson is too slow or too rushed. Few students are intellectually engaged or interested.	Learning tasks and activities are partially aligned with the instructional outcomes but require only minimal thinking by students, allowing most to be passive or merely compliant. The pacing of the lesson may not provide students the time needed to be intellectually engaged.	The learning tasks and activities are aligned with the instructional outcomes and designed to challenge student thinking, the result being that most students display active intellectual engagement with important and challenging content and are supported in that engagement by teacher candidate scaffolding. The pacing of the lesson is appropriate, providing most students the time needed to be intellectually engaged.	Virtually all students are intellectually engaged in challenging content through well-designed learning tasks and suitable scaffolding by the teacher and fully aligned with the instructional outcomes. In addition, there is evidence of some student initiation of inquiry and of student contribution to the exploration of important content. The pacing of the lesson provides students the time needed to intellectually engage with and reflect upon their learning and to consolidate their understanding. Students may have some choice in how they complete tasks and may serve as resources for one another.
3d: Using Formative Assessment and Feedback in Instruction	Feedback is absent or of poor quality. There is little or no assessment or monitoring of student learning;. Students do not appear to be aware of the assessment criteria and do not engage in self-assessment.	Feedback to students is general or highlights errors without information for how to improve. Assessment is used sporadically by teacher candidate and/or students to support instruction through some monitoring of progress in learning. Students appear to be only partially aware of the assessment criteria used to evaluate their work, and few assess their own work. Questions, prompts, and assessments are rarely used to diagnose evidence of learning.	Feedback is timely and specific on what students did well and what they need to do to improve. Assessment is used regularly by teacher candidate and/or students during the lesson through monitoring of learning progress. Students appear to be aware of the assessment criteria; some of them engage in self-assessment. Questions, prompts, assessments are used to diagnose evidence of learning.	A variety of feedback, from both their teacher and their peers, is accurate, timely, specific, and helps students know what they did well and what they need to do to improve. Assessment is fully integrated into instruction through extensive use of formative assessment. Students appear to be aware of, and there is some evidence that they have contributed to, the assessment criteria. Students self-assess and monitor their progress. Questions, prompts, assessments are used regularly to diagnose evidence of learning by individual students.

Component	Not Met	Acceptable	Proficient	In-service Distinguished (not used for preservice rating)
3e: Demonstrating flexibility and responsiveness	The teacher candidate adheres to the instruction plan in spite of evidence of poor student understanding or lack of interest. Teacher candidate ignores student questions; when students experience difficulty, the teacher candidate blames the students or their home environment.	The teacher candidate demonstrates some flexibility in accommodating student questions, needs, and interests.	The teacher candidate demonstrates flexibility in differentiation to meet the needs and interests of students including students with IEPs, English-language learners, and other individual students, accommodating student questions, needs, and interests with a variety of strategies.	Teacher seizes an opportunity to enhance learning, building on a spontaneous event or student interests, or successfully adjusts and differentiates instruction to address individual student misunderstandings. Teacher persists in seeking effective approaches for students who need help, using an extensive repertoire of instructional strategies and soliciting additional resources from the school or community. In addition to the characteristics of "proficient": The teacher's adjustments to the lesson are designed to assist individual students. Teacher seizes on a teachable moment to enhance a lesson. The teacher conveys to students that s/he won't consider a lesson "finished" until every student understands and that s/he has a broad range of approaches to use. In reflecting on practice, the teacher can cite others in the school and beyond whom s/he has contacted for assistance in reaching some students.

Professionalism

Component	Not Met	Acceptable	Proficient	In-service Distinguished (not used for preservice rating)
4a:Reflecting on Teaching and student learning	The teacher candidate does not know whether a lesson was effective or achieved its instructional outcomes, or the teacher candidate profoundly misjudges the success of a lesson. The teacher candidate has no suggestions for how a lesson could be improved.	The teacher candidate has a generally accurate impression of a lesson's effectiveness and the extent to which instructional outcomes were met. The teacher candidate discusses teaching approaches, but not student learning outcomes with mentor teacher. The teacher candidate makes general suggestions about how a lesson could be improved.	The teacher candidate makes an appropriate assessment of a lesson's effectiveness and the extent to which it achieved its instructional outcomes and can cite general references to support the judgment. The candidate regularly discusses teaching approaches and student learning outcomes with mentor teacher. The teacher candidate reflects on ways to build on successes and makes a few specific suggestions of what could be tried another time the lesson is taught.	The teacher makes a thoughtful and accurate assessment of a lesson's effectiveness and the extent to which it achieved its instructional outcomes, citing many specific examples from the lesson and weighing the relative strengths of each. Drawing on an extensive repertoire of skills, the teacher offers specific alternative actions, complete with the probable success of different courses of action.
4b:Ccommunicatio n with Families	Even with supervision, the teacher candidate has demonstrated ineffective or inappropriate skills for communication and involvement with families.	The teacher candidate accepts opportunities to learn effective communication with families from the mentor and provides limited support.	The teacher candidate takes initiative in seeking opportunities to learn effective communication with families from the mentor and provides effective support.	The teacher communicates frequently with families in a culturally sensitive manner, with students contributing to the communication. The teacher responds to family concerns with professional and cultural sensitivity. The teacher's efforts to engage families in the instructional program are frequent and successful.
4c: Participating in a Professional Community	The teacher candidate's relationships with colleagues are negative or self-serving. The teacher candidate avoids participation in a professional culture of inquiry, resisting opportunities to become involved. The teacher candidate avoids becoming involved in school events or school and district projects recommended by mentor teachers.	The teacher candidate's professional relationships are cordial and fulfill school/district duties recommended by the mentor teachers; including involvement in a culture of inquiry, school events and/or school/district projects when asked.	The teacher candidate's professional relationships are characterized by mutual support and cooperation; taking initiative in a culture of professional inquiry, school events and school/district projects.	Professional relationships are characterized by mutual support, cooperation and initiative in assuming leadership in promoting a culture of inquiry and making substantial contributions to school/district projects.

Component	Not Met	Acceptable	Proficient	In-service Distinguished (not used for preservice rating)
4d: Showing Professionalism	The teacher candidate interactions are characterized by questionable professionalism in dress, grooming, use of social media, communication, timeliness, commitment to teaching, ability to take on responsibilities.	The teacher candidate interaction are characterized by honest, genuine but inconsistent professionalism in dress, grooming, use of social media, communication, timeliness, commitment to teaching, ability to take on responsibilities.	The teacher candidate displays high standards of honesty, integrity, and confidentiality in interactions with colleagues, students, and the public. The teacher candidate demonstrates full commitment to teaching and a willingness to take on responsibilities.	The teacher can be counted on to hold the highest standards of honesty, integrity, and confidentiality and takes a leadership role with colleagues. The teacher is highly proactive in serving students, seeking out resources when needed. The teacher makes a concerted effort to challenge negative attitudes or practices to ensure that all students, particularly those traditionally underserved, are honored in the school. The teacher takes a leadership role in team or departmental decision making and helps ensure that such decisions are based on the highest professional standards. The teacher complies fully with school and district regulations, taking a leadership role with colleagues.