

# General Education Assessment Committee October 29, 2018

MSU, Room 322

## Present:

Krista Prock (at-large non-teaching faculty), John Stanley (GEC), George Sirrakos (COE), Robert Ryan (at-large teaching faculty), Gil Clary (Office of Assessment), Angela Cirucci, (VPA), Sudarshan Fernando (CLAS), Soojin Kim for Yongjae Kim (COB), David Beougher (Academic Dean), Robert Folk (at-large teaching faculty).

## Absent:

Patrick Moyer, (Student Representative), Amy Lu (at large teaching faculty).

Sirrakos called the meeting to order at 2 pm.

## Minutes:

Motion to approved the October 15, 2018 Minutes by Prock, seconded by Clary. Motion passed to approve the Minutes.

## SLO #8:

When fulfilling SLO #8, is the advisor looking on the front or back of the student's check sheet? Stanley indicated we are approving classes in the general education program. The computer places the classes. It is just a matter of a certain prefix doesn't mean it is in the general education program. We are three years out before looking at SLO #8. Discussion followed. No major prefix course can count on the general education side of the check sheet.

## Data Collection for FYS (fall 2018):

Messages sent to FYS faculty last week with 40 sections and 34 faculty members. Two questions that arose at this point – submitting student's work with names. No information is published but it remains internal. The student can remove their name and no degree shown, freshmen or transfer – number of credits. Discussion followed. Students are not being graded on their work. It is about the learning overall and not content.

At least two faculty members teaching FYS have sent an assignment without it being completed. Sirrakos feels it is more general education committee work. Discussion followed.

This committee could look at it from a method standpoint. We can give feedback to make sure the assignment can measure the SLO.

## Benchmarks:

We talked about using evidence as benchmarks. We can use our past general education reports. Sirrakos will create two subcommittees. One will explore other institution's benchmarks, and also to explore our past reports. Report at our next meeting. By the end of the semester, he would like to know which way we will go – focus on SLO #7 (criteria of success subcommittee).

Prock and Sirrakos will work on the technology competency for the next meeting.

## Rater process:

In the past, this committee rated the student work. Then we trained other faculty to do this. At the next meeting, please let Sirrakos know what you think worked, and what did not. To the new people on the committee, please let him know what you think may need to be adjusted, and would like to see. Sirrakos would like to get call for raters out soon. Training sessions for the raters will be held too. Prock to send

rater information to Sirrakos so that we can ask for raters. Discussion followed

Next meeting is Monday, November 12, 2018 2 pm in MSU 322. Meeting adjourned at 2:48 pm. /km  
11/08/2018