

General Education Assessment Committee
Oct. 5, 2020
4pm, Online via Zoom

Present: David Beougher (Academic Dean), Diana Ebersole (VPA), Sudarshan Fernando (CLAS), Liaoliao Li (COB), Amy Lu (at-large teaching faculty), Christine Núñez (at-large teaching faculty), Amber Pabon (COE), Krista Prock (Office of Assessment), Karen Rauch (Administrative Affairs), Daniel Stafford (at-large non-teaching faculty) and John Stanley (GEC).

Absent: Undergraduate Student Representative, and Robert Ryan (at-large teaching faculty).

Guests: Bethany French

Amber Pabon called the meeting to order at 4:00 pm.

Announcements:

Minutes:

The minutes from the Sept. 23, 2020, meeting were presented for review.

They were moved by D. Ebersole, and seconded by C. Nunez. MOTION PASSED.

Old Business:

K. Rauch motioned to table the Old Business Items, and it was seconded by K. Prock. MOTION PASSED.

New Business:

Reporting on Trainers and Rating:

SignUp Genius worked very well, and 36 people have used it to sign up for the training sessions.

D. Ebersole and K. Prock stated that they had a good first session at The number of artifacts to double rate still needs to be determined; K. Prock, D. Ebersole and A. Pabon will discuss and determine this number.

Update on Collecting Fall FYS data:

K. Prock notified all FYS teachers in August that they will need to submit student work products this semester. The GAs have determined the sample and who needs to be submitted for each course; still need to email professors. K. Prock has contacted IT about using OneDrive for holding submissions, but GEAC still needs a deadline for submissions.

S. Fernando had a question about using MyKU to submit rating. K. Rauch said that it's a good idea to work toward when faculty do their own ratings, but since it was not announced

at the beginning of the semester, this is perhaps not the right time. D. Ebersole offered to do a pilot self-assessment, since she is currently teaching FYS this semester; she also suggested sending out a request for volunteers for a pilot program with the next reminder to faculty members. K. Prock reminded the committee that she is still waiting to hear from IT about using OneDrive, but thinks that S. Fernando's suggestion of using MyKU for a pilot program. Someone would need to find out if we can use MyKU to receive rating scores.

A. Pabon asked if we have a current mechanism to share feedback with the professors who teach the Gen Edu courses? K. Prock stated that GEAC did this the first time FYS was taught, but otherwise it's just been a general discussion in the GEAC report, with information broken down by college, at the most. D. Ebersole suggested that GEAC hold a twice a year (at least) meta-meeting, where the committee can reflect on the report and make plans for the upcoming semester.

C. Nunez commented that there seems to be a conflict of interest with faculty rating their own classes if lower ratings might mean they are removed from General Education. Discussion ensued.

GEC Updates (including FYS Advisory Council):

J. Stanley asked how GEAC would handle the norming sessions for SLO 7? K. Rauch remarked that sometimes you need to work with a rubric to know where and how to make changes to it.

J. Stanley reported that the Advisory Committee on FYS, a subcommittee of GEC is discussing revision to the course objectives to better align with the General Education SLOs, particularly SLO 7

Meeting adjourned at 5:02pm